Regeneration of Osteochondral Defects Using Developmentally Inspired Cartilaginous Templates
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There is increased interest in recapitulating aspects of development when designing new tissue engineering strategies. Long bones and their epiphyses are formed through endochondral ossification, a process by which a cartilage template develops in response to genetic and environmental cues to generate a bone organ. The objective of this study was to evaluate the capacity of engineered cartilage templates to regenerate osteochondral (OC) defects created in the femoral condyle of skeletally mature rabbits. To this end, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) were encapsulated in RGD (arginine/glycine/aspartic acid)-functionalized, γ-irradiated alginate hydrogel and chondrogenically primed in vitro to engineer cartilage templates tailored for OC defect regeneration. While comparable levels of healing were observed in the bony region of empty and treated groups, the quality of healing was notably different in the chondral region of these defects. Mechanical testing revealed that treatment with engineered cartilage templates promoted the development of a stiffer repair tissue at the articular surface, which correlated with histomorphometric analysis demonstrating the formation of a more hyaline cartilage-like repair tissue. Next, a computational mechanobiological model was used to better understand how local environmental cues were regulating the regenerative process in vivo. This model predicted that higher strains and lower levels of oxygen in the chondral region of the defect were preventing cartilage template progression along the endochondral pathway, with hyaline cartilage or fibrocartilage eventually forming depending on local strain magnitudes. In contrast, higher levels of oxygen and lower magnitudes of strain in the osseous region of the defect facilitated progression of the engineered cartilage template along an endochondral pathway. In conclusion, this study demonstrates that engineered cartilage templates can enhance OC defect regeneration, pointing to the potential for developmentally inspired soft tissue templates, engineered using BMSCs, to regenerate damaged and diseased joints.
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Impact Statement

Successfully treating osteochondral defects involves regenerating both the damaged articular cartilage and the underlying subchondral bone, in addition to the complex interface that separates these tissues. In this study, we demonstrate that a cartilage template, engineered using bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells, can enhance the regeneration of such defects and promote the development of a more mechanically functional repair tissue. We also use a computational mechanobiological model to understand how joint-specific environmental factors, specifically oxygen levels and tissue strains, regulate the conversion of the engineered template into cartilage and bone in vivo.

*Current affiliation: Departments of Bioengineering and Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL.
Introduction

Successfully treating osteochondral (OC) defects involves regenerating both the damaged articular cartilage and the underlying subchondral bone, in addition to the complex interface that separates these tissues. Current treatments such as mosaicplasty are limited by complications such as donor-site morbidity, matching the topography of the damaged site, and poor graft integration.1–3 This has motivated the development of tissue-engineered implants to treat these clinically challenging defects.4,5 To this end, there has been increased interest in recapitulating aspects of tissue or organ development when designing new regenerative strategies. All long bones, including their epiphiyses, are formed, in part, by the process of enchondral ossification.6,7 Their development involves the condensation of stem cells, which differentiate into chondrocytes to form a cartilage model/template. The chondrocytes enlarge and become hypertrophic, secreting collagen type X and anagogenic factors, and their surrounding extracellular matrix (ECM) is invaded by vasculature.8 The subsequent delivery of oxygen, growth factors, and other regulatory cues through this vasculature, as well as the recruitment of osteoprogenitor cells, promotes osteogenesis and bone formation.9 During postnatal development, articular cartilage also acts as a surface growth plate for the longitudinal, radial, and lateral growth of the epiphyseal bone.6 Therefore, cartilage acts as the precursor tissue to the cancellous bone, subchondral bone, calcified cartilage, and articular cartilage that make up a mature long bone organ. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) have been used to engineer both articular cartilage10–12 and hypertrophic cartilage templates for endochondral bone tissue engineering.7,13–15 Strategies to accelerate degradation include altering the molecular weight through γ-irradiation and/or oxidation of the material,42–44 shown to enhance the capacity of these hydrogels to support tissue regeneration.40,45

The first objective of this study was to tissue engineer a cartilage template using BMSCs and to then evaluate its capacity to repair a critically sized OC defect in the femoral condyle of skeletally mature rabbits. To this end, BMSCs were encapsulated in an RGD-functionalized, γ-irradiated alginate hydrogel and chondrogenically primed in vitro to engineer cartilage templates tailored for OC defect regeneration. Our hypothesis was that this cartilage template would undergo spatially defined differentiation in vivo in response to the unique environmental conditions within an OC defect, resulting in the development of a repair tissue consisting of hyaline articular cartilage overlying a layer of bone formed via enchondral ossification. A computational mechanobiological model was then used to elucidate the environmental and mechanical conditions in vivo to provide further insight into the factors regulating the repair tissue phenotype.46,47

Materials and Methods

Cell isolation and expansion

BMSCs were obtained from the femur of 4- to 6-month-old lapine donors. Bone marrow was removed from the femoral shaft and washed in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (hgDMEM, GlutaMAX™; Biosciences, Ireland) supplemented with 8% fetal bovine serum (Biosciences), 2% rabbit serum (Sigma, Ireland), 1% penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL; Biosciences). A homogenous cell suspension was achieved by triturating an 18G needle. The solution was centrifuged twice at 650 g for 5 min, with removal of the supernatant. The resultant cell pellet was triturated and the cell suspension was filtered through a 40 µm cell sieve before plating at a density of 5 × 10⁵ cells/cm². Following colony formation, cells were trypsinized, counted, and replated for further passage at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/cm². All expansion was conducted at 5% oxygen tension and media were changed twice weekly. Cells were embedded within the alginate gel at the end of passage 2.

Engineering of the cartilage template

The cartilage template was prepared by dissolving RGD-γ alginate in sterile hgDMEM to make up a final concentration determining the fate of tissues engineered using BMSCs once they are implanted in vivo.

Cartilage and bone tissue engineering strategies often involve the encapsulation of cells into hydrogels, providing them a niche to proliferate and/or differentiate into tissue-specific cells. Alginate is a naturally derived, biocompatible hydrogel that supports cell differentiation and ECM synthesis and is often used in bone and cartilage tissue engineering.33–41 It does not contain specific ligands for cell adhesion; however, introducing peptides such as the arginine/glycine/aspartic acid (RGD) sequence has been shown to facilitate cellular adhesion. This has been shown to support cellular proliferation, an osteogenic phenotype,32 and promote enchondral bone formation.14 Alginate is generally slow to degrade, which can be a limitation for bone development, as vascularization and the subsequent delivery of nutrients can be impeded or inhibited.39

Materials and Methods

Cell isolation and expansion

BMSCs were obtained from the femur of 4- to 6-month-old lapine donors. Bone marrow was removed from the femoral shaft and washed in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (hgDMEM, GlutaMAX™; Biosciences, Ireland) supplemented with 8% fetal bovine serum (Biosciences), 2% rabbit serum (Sigma, Ireland), 1% penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL; Biosciences). A homogenous cell suspension was achieved by triturating an 18G needle. The solution was centrifuged twice at 650 g for 5 min, with removal of the supernatant. The resultant cell pellet was triturated and the cell suspension was filtered through a 40 µm cell sieve before plating at a density of 5 × 10⁵ cells/cm². Following colony formation, cells were trypsinized, counted, and replated for further passage at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/cm². All expansion was conducted at 5% oxygen tension and media were changed twice weekly. Cells were embedded within the alginate gel at the end of passage 2.

Engineering of the cartilage template

The cartilage template was prepared by dissolving RGD-γ alginate in sterile hgDMEM to make up a final concentration
of 1.5% w/v. RGD-γ alginate was made as follows: low-
molecular-weight sodium alginate (52,000 g/mol) was pre-
pared by irradiating Protanal LF 20/40 (196,000 g/mol; FMC Biopolymer, Philadelphia, PA) at a gamma dose of 5 
Mrad.46 RGD-modified alginate was prepared by coupling the 
GGGGRGDSP to the alginate by carbodiimide reaction 
chemistry. Alginate (10 g) was dissolved at 1% (w/v) in 
MES buffer (pH 6.5). Sulfo-NHS (274 mg; Pierce, Rock-
ford, IL), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide 
(484 mg; Sigma), and GGGGRGDSP peptide (100 mg; AI-
ford, IL), then added to the alginate solution. After reacting for 24 h at 48°C, the reaction was stopped 
by addition of hydroxylamine (0.18 mg/mL; Sigma), and the solution was purified by dialysis against ultrapure deionized water (MWCO 3500; Spectrum Laboratories, Rancho Dom-
inges, CA) for 3 days, treated with activated charcoal (0.5 mg/ 
100 mL, 50–200 mesh; Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA) for 30 min, 
filtered (0.22 mm filter), and lyophilized.48–50 The constructs 
were formed by combining BMSCs, counted, and spun to form 
a cell pellet, with the alginate, using a 16G needle to mix the 
suspension with a final density of 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL. The algi-
nate/cell suspension was poured into a specifically designed 4% 
agarose mold containing 50 mM CaCl₂ and allowed to crosslink 
for 30 min at 37°C to produce 4 × 4 mm cylindrical constructs. 
 Constructs were maintained in chondrogenic medium, 
consisting of hgDMEM supplemented with penicillin (100 U/mL) 
· streptomycin (100 µg/mL), 100 µg/mL sodium pyruvate, 
40 µg/mL l-proline, 50 µg/mL l-ascorbic acid-2-phosphate, 
1.5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin, 1 x insulin/transferrin/ 
selenium, 100 mM dexamethasone (all from Sigma-Aldrich), and 10 ng/mL recombinant human TGF-β3 
(ProSpec-Tany TechnoGene Ltd., Israel). Constructs were 
cultured at 37°C with 5% oxygen for 32 days with medium 
exchange twice weekly.

Biochemical analysis

Constructs were digested in papain (125 µg/mL) in 0.1 M 
sodium acetate, 5 mM cysteine HCl, and 50 mM EDTA (pH 
6.0; all from Sigma-Aldrich) at 60°C under constant rotation 
for 18 h. Total DNA content was quantified using the Hoechst 
bisbenzimide 33258 dye assay (Sigma-Aldrich). Proteoglycan 
content was estimated by quantifying the amount of sulfated 
glycosaminoglycan (sGAG) in constructs using the di-
methylmethane blue dye-binding assay (Blyscan, Biocolor 
Ltd.), with a chondroitin sulfate standard. Total collagen 
content was determined by measuring the hydroxyproline 
content. Samples were hydrolyzed at 110°C for 18 h in concen-
trated HCl (38%) and assayed using a chloramine-T as-
say with a hydroxyproline-to-collagen ratio of 1:7.69.51

Cartilage template implantation

New Zealand white (6–8 months) rabbits were anesthetized 
with ketamine/medetomidine maintained using isoflurane and 
oxygen. The surgical sites were prepared by shaving and 
washing with chlorhexidine surgical scrub and alcohol. Sur-
gical drapes were used to isolate the surgical sites. Defects 
(4 × 4 mm in diameter) were introduced in the medial femoral 
condyle of the hind leg using a biopsy punch and a surgical burr. 
The defects were lavaged with saline before implanting the 
cartilage template. Two defects were made per rabbit (one in 
each femur), constructs were implanted (n = 6) by press-fitting, 
and empty defects served as controls (n = 6). Postsurgery, 
rabbits were permitted free activity with postoperative anal-
gesia buprenorphine hydrochloride, given for 3 days. Animals 
were sacrificed after 3 months using pentobarbital. This pro-
tocol and study were reviewed and approved by the Ethics 
Committee of Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

Histology and immunochernistry

Constructs were fixed in 10% formalin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 
48 h. They were decalcified using “Decalcifying Solution-
Lite” (Sigma-Aldrich), then dehydrated through graded al-
cohols, embedded in paraffin, and sliced to 10 µm. Slices were 
stained with 1% alcian blue 8GX (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 M 
HCl, pH 1 for sGAG, or with aldehyde fuchsin and 1% alcian 
blue, pH 1. Collagen types I, II, and X were evaluated using a 
standard immunohistochemical technique; sections were re-
hydrated and treated with chondroitinase ABC (Sigma-
Aldrich) in a humidified environment at 37°C to enhance 
permeability of the ECM. This was followed by incubation in 
goat serum to block nonspecific sites and the relevant primary 
antibodies (mouse monoclonal; Abcam); collagen type I 
(ab90395, 1:400), collagen type II (ab3092, 1:100), or collagen 
type X (ab49945, 1:100) was applied overnight at 4°C. 
Treatment with peroxidase preceded the application of the 
secondary antibody (collagen type I and II, B7151, 1.5:200; 
collagen type X, ab49760, 1:200) at room temperature for 1 h. 
Thereafter, all sections were incubated with ABC reagent 
(Vectastain PK–400; Vector Labs) for 45 min. Finally, sections 
were washed with PBS and mounted with DAB reagent 
(Vector Labs) for 5 min. Positive and negative controls were included in the 
immunohistochemical staining protocols.

Histological scoring

Histological and macroscopic blind scoring was conducted 
by six impartial people in groups of two (n = 3 scores). The wax-embedded sample was cut down the center 
and sliced from the center of the defect. Two histological 
slides (one from each side of the sample) were included in 
the randomly selected histological slides for scoring, and an 
average score was calculated. Histological and macroscopic 
images were scored using a modified version of the 
O’Driscoll score (Tables 1 and 2).

Mechanical testing

Indentation tests were performed using a single column Zwick 
(Zwick, Roell, Germany) with a 5N load cell. Unconfined 
compression tests were carried out as previously described.52 
Briefly, the repaired tissue was indented using an impermeable 
metal indenter of 1 mm diameter to a depth of 50 µm into the
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tissue and held until relaxation. Subsequently, a dynamic test was performed at 1 Hz, from this the amplitude of the dynamic stress was extracted by dividing by the average force between the peak and trough of 10 cycles by the cross-sectional area (CSA). The mechanics of the repair tissue was assessed at the center point, unless there was an uneven surface; in such cases, preference was given to the region with the most repair tissue slightly left or right of the center of the defect site.

Microcomputed tomography

Microcomputed tomography (μCT) scans were performed on the femoral condyle explants using a Scanco Medical 40 μCT system (Scanco Medical, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) to visualize and quantify mineral deposition. Six condyles were scanned per experimental and control group after 3 months in vivo. Constructs were scanned in 50% EtOH, at a voxel resolution of 30 μm, a voltage of 70 kVp, and a current of 114 μA. Reconstructed three-dimensional (3D) images were generated to visualize the repaired bone. Quantification of mineralization within the defect site was performed by setting a threshold of 210 (corresponding to a density of 399.5 mg hydroxyapatite/cm³) and calculating the bone volume within a 3.5 × 3.5 mm cylinder, which excluded the original bone.

Computational modeling

A previously developed computational model was used to predict BMSC differentiation and tissue development in the empty and treated defect. This model utilized an iterative procedure outlined in greater detail in a previous study. Briefly, a finite element model was used to determine the mechanical environment within the defect (Fig. 1a). The dimensions and loading conditions of the condyle were obtained from the literature. The results of the angiogenesis and cell migration models were then used as inputs to an oxygen diffusion model. In this case, oxygen consumption from the cells was modeled using Michaelis–Menten kinetics. Cell differentiation was predicted using a previously developed algorithm, which assumed that the fate of BMSCs was dependent on the local oxygen tension and substrate stiffness, while the fate of cartilage was influenced by the local oxygen tension and octahedral shear strain (Fig 1b, c). Of note for this study is that cartilage can become hypertrophic if the oxygen tension is high and the mechanical strain low. Finally, based on previous studies, it was assumed that the cartilage subjected to chondrogenic conditions (specifically a low oxygen tension) for a period of 10 weeks formed stable cartilage. This stable cartilage inhibited blood vessel growth and was resistant to hypertrophy and endochondral ossification.

In accordance with the experimental model, tissue formation was predicted over 12 weeks, where each iteration of the model represented a 24-h period. In the empty defect model, it was assumed that the defect was filled with granulation tissue, which contained BMSCs. In the model of the defect treated with an engineered cartilage template, on implantation it was assumed that the encapsulated BMSCs had undergone chondrogenic differentiation for 32 days (equivalent to the preculture period described above). For this reason, in this model, the defect was assumed to be filled with chondrocytes at day 0.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 5 software package (GraphPad). Experimental groups were analyzed for significant differences using either a t-test or a general linear model for ANOVA (analysis of variance). Significance was accepted at a level of p < 0.05.

Results

RGD-functionalized, γ-irradiated alginate hydrogels support the development of a cartilage template in vitro

Over 32 days of in vitro culture, the RGD-functionalized and γ-irradiated alginate hydrogels supported the development of a cartilage-like tissue that stained positive for collagen type II and sGAG deposition (Fig. 2c). sGAG and

### Table 2. Histological Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Repair tissue that is hyaline</th>
<th>80–100</th>
<th>60–80</th>
<th>40–60</th>
<th>20–40</th>
<th>0–20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous and smooth</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous but rough</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuous</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of repair tissue compared with host cartilage</td>
<td>81–120% of normal cartilage</td>
<td>51–81% of normal cartilage</td>
<td>0–50% of normal cartilage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidemark</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of cartilage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degenerative changes in the repair tissue</td>
<td>Normal cellularity</td>
<td>Slight to moderate hypocellularity</td>
<td>or hypercellularity</td>
<td>Severe hypocellularity or hypercellularity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degenerative changes in adjacent cartilage</td>
<td>Normal cellularity, no clusters, no fibrillations</td>
<td>Normal cellularity, mild clusters, superficial fibrillations</td>
<td>Mild cellularity, moderate fibrillations</td>
<td>Severe changes in cellularity, moderate fibrillations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrocyte clustering</td>
<td>No clusters</td>
<td>&lt;25% of cells</td>
<td>25–100% of cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance was accepted at a level of p < 0.05.

RGD-functionalized, γ-irradiated alginate hydrogels support the development of a cartilage template in vitro

Over 32 days of in vitro culture, the RGD-functionalized and γ-irradiated alginate hydrogels supported the development of a cartilage-like tissue that stained positive for collagen type II and sGAG deposition (Fig. 2c). sGAG and
FIG. 1. FE model geometry and boundary conditions of an osteochondral defect for the simulations of the spontaneous repair process and tissue formation following implantation of the tissue-engineered scaffold (a), tissue differentiation algorithm where (b) MSC fate is governed by a combination of the substrate stiffness and local oxygen tension,\textsuperscript{51} and (c) chondrocyte fate is governed by the octahedral shear strain and local oxygen tension.\textsuperscript{33} FE, finite element; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell. Color images are available online.

FIG. 2. (a) The process by which the cartilage template was formed, (b) Young’s modulus for the acellular RGD-γ-alginate day 0 and after 32 days in culture preimplantation, \( n = 3 \) \( **p < 0.01 \). (c) Histology for chondrogenic markers sGAG (alcian blue/aldehyde fuchsin), collagen (picrosirius red), and collagen type II, hypertrophic marker collagen type X, fibrocartilage collagen type I, and calcium (alizarin red), scale bar = 100 µm, insert scale bar = 1000 µm. RGD, arginine/glycine/aspartic acid; sGAG, sulfated glycosaminoglycan. Color images are available online.
collagen accumulation was measured to be 166 ± 28 and 123 ± 10 µg, respectively. There was some positive staining for collagen type X, indicating the early stages of hypertrophy in some areas of the cartilage template (Fig. 2c), although negative staining for alizarin red (for calcium deposition) and collagen type I demonstrated that the tissue had not ossified to any extent in vitro (Fig. 2c). There was a significant increase in mechanical properties of the template over time in culture, from 2.39 ± 0.94 to 7.35 ± 1.25 kPa (Fig. 2b). Live/dead analysis was conducted at the end of the culture period, which showed a cell viability of 84% within the cartilage templates (data not shown).

Treatment of OC defects with an engineered cartilage template results in the development of a stiffer repair tissue

Macroscopically, there was no difference apparent between the treated group and empty control group (Fig. 3a, b), as quantified using macroscopic scoring (Fig. 3c, d). In both groups, there were instances of what appeared to be both complete and partial filling of the defects. However, mechanical testing identified that repair tissue was significantly stiffer in defects treated with the cartilage template compared with empty controls (Fig. 3e–g).

De novo bone tissue, as measured by µCT, was observed within the OC defects. The reconstructed 3D scans demonstrated mineralized tissue within the center of the defect, with trabecular struts evident in the deeper regions of the repair tissue (Fig. 4a, b). Complete bone repair was not detected in either the empty or treated defects, and even in the best examples of repair there was some evidence of incomplete subchondral bone regeneration at the bone/cartilage interface. Quantitative analysis of the defect area revealed no significant difference in overall levels of bone fill between empty and treated defects (Fig. 4c, d).

Engineered cartilage templates promote the development of a more hyaline cartilage-like repair tissue in OC defects

All defects treated with engineered cartilage templates stained intensely with alcian blue, indicating the development of proteoglycan-rich tissue, with more variable staining observed within the empty controls (Fig. 5a, b). The best repair observed in the empty defects was fibrocartilaginous in nature, staining positively for type I and type II collagen, while the corresponding cartilage template-treated defects displayed a more hyaline-like tissue with only minimal type I collagen staining (Fig. 5a–d). The repair tissue stained weakly for type X collagen, a marker for hypertrophy, in the best repair for both empty and treated defects. However, there appeared to be pericellular staining for collagen type X in the empty defects that underwent poorer repair. Following blind evaluation of histological sections, a significant difference was found in the overall histological scores of empty and treated defects, with superior repair observed in treated defects (Fig. 6a, b). In addition, a lack of cellular alignment is observed within the empty

![FIG. 3. Macroscopic repair of best and worst for (a) control empty defects and (b) cartilage template, scale bar = 2000 µm. Macroscopic scoring (n = 6) for (c) surface integration and (d) surface smoothness. (e–g) Mechanical testing at 3 months (n = 6 ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, t-test). Color images are available online.](image-url)
controls when compared with native cartilage. The cartilage template-treated group generally exhibited normal cell morphology and alignment more like that of native articular cartilage (Fig. 5d). Overall, the histomorphometric analysis demonstrated that the cartilage template trended toward improved repair in all parameters evaluated, with significant differences observed for percentage tissue that is hyaline (Fig. 6b), integration of newly formed cartilage with surrounding cartilage (Fig. 6f), and a lack of degenerative changes in the adjacent tissue (Fig. 6h).

**Computational predictions suggest that local levels of oxygen availability and mechanical stimuli may play a role in determining whether hyaline, fibrocartilage, or hypertrophic cartilage forms within OC defects.**

The computational mechanobiological model predicted the same patterns of tissue development as observed experimentally in both empty and treated defects. In models of both empty and treated defects, bone and cartilage were predicted to initially form in the osseous phase, while a
mixture of cartilage and fibrocartilage formed in the chondral phase of the defect (Fig. 7). As the simulations progressed, in both groups, a bone front advanced toward the chondral phase by means of endochondral ossification (Fig. 7b). Similar to the experimental findings, a higher quantity of cartilage was predicted at each time point in the chondral phase of defects treated with engineered templates (Fig. 7c). Conversely, in the empty defect simulation, a higher quantity of fibrocartilage was predicted in the chondral phase at each time point. In both models, there was roughly the same quantity of bone predicted in the osseous phase of the defect at weeks 4, 8, and 12 (Fig. 7d).

Similar patterns of bone formation predicted in the models can be attributed to the fact that there was very little difference in the spatial and temporal patterns of blood vessel formation predicted in empty and treated defects (Fig. 7a). In both models, blood vessels sprouted formed from the cancellous bone and, over time, advanced toward the surface of the defect. These similarities in the pattern of vessel formation resulted in a similar oxygen profile in empty and treated defects (Fig. 7a), which in turn supported comparable levels of osteogenesis.

The differences in the tissues formed in the chondral phase of the OC defect can be attributed, in part, to the higher stiffness of the engineered template compared with the granulation tissue that is assumed to initially fill the empty defect. The result of this was that the cells within the chondral phase of the scaffold-treated defect were subjected to lower magnitudes of strain compared with in the empty defect (data not shown). This, coupled with the hypoxic environment, supported higher levels of cartilage development compared with more fibrocartilage repair in empty defects. The greater persistence of cartilage within the chondral phase of the treated defects can be attributed to the preculture stage. This ensured that more stable cartilage (BMSCs chondrogenically stimulated for 32 days) had formed within the chondral phase of the treated defects compared with the empty defects. The result of this was

---

**FIG. 5.** Alcian blue staining depicting the two best and two worst observed repair for two different (a) empty and (b) cartilage templates (scale bar 2 mm). Immunohistochemical staining for collagen type II, I, and X portraying the worst, intermediate, and best repair for (c) empty and (d) cartilage templates (scale bar 200 μm). Color images are available online.
that, as blood vessels advanced toward the chondral phase, the cartilage in the treated defect was more resistant to hypertrophy and endochondral ossification.

Discussion

The OC unit develops postnatally from a cartilaginous precursor that undergoes endochondral ossification during skeletal maturation. Inspired by this developmental process, herein we demonstrate that cartilaginous templates engineered using BMSCs encapsulated within RGD-modified and gamma-irradiated alginate hydrogels can be used to regenerate critically sized OC defects. While empty OC defects are capable of undergoing spontaneous repair, more hyaline-like cartilage tissue was observed in defects treated with engineered soft tissue templates. Furthermore, the repair tissue in treated defects was stiffer than in empty controls. To provide a more mechanistic understanding of the regenerative process, we next used a computational model to simulate tissue development in both the empty and treated defects. This model provides evidence demonstrating that local levels of oxygen availability and

FIG. 6. Histomorphometric analysis of cartilage repair parameters, demonstrating significant differences in (a) overall score, (b) % hyaline repair tissue, (c) articular cartilage continuity, (d) thickness of repair tissue, (e) tidemark, (f) integration of cartilage, (g) degenerative changes in the repair tissue and (h) lack of degenerative changes to adjacent cartilage, (i) chondrocyte clustering (n=6, *p < 0.05, t-test).
mechanical cues direct the fate of chondrogenically primed BMSCs following implantation into an OC defect.

Cartilage templates were engineered in vitro by encapsulating BMSCs within RGD-modified and γ-irradiated alginate hydrogels and by stimulating these constructs with TGF-β3 over 32 days in vitro. Such alginate hydrogels have previously been shown to support endochondral bone formation subcutaneously, but permitted only limited bone formation in vivo in a cranial defect model due to the slow degradation rate of the hydrogel. However, using this modified alginate, in five of the six defects, there was no evidence of any residual material 3 months postimplantation.

Defects treated with the engineered cartilage template were found to contain a more hyaline-like repair tissue as demonstrated by histological staining, superior mechanical properties, and statistically significant histomorphometric scores. The inferior mechanical properties of the repair tissue within the empty control defects, coupled with positive collagen type I staining, suggest the development of a fibrocartilaginous tissue. The integration of the de novo

![Image of Figure 7](image-url)
cartilage with existing cartilage is also paramount for joint stability, and is often reported to be difficult to achieve with bioengineering strategies.\textsuperscript{57,66} For example, integration with the host tissue was one of the limiting factors reported to affect complete repair of OC defects using bone marrow-derived stem cells in a collagen gel.\textsuperscript{68} In this study, we observe a significantly better integrated repair tissue in the treated group; however, consistent integration with the host cartilage was not obtained. In addition, we did not observe hyaline repair in all treated defects, demonstrating that further optimization of the tissue engineering strategy is warranted.

The computational models demonstrated that both the oxygen and mechanical environments varied spatially within the defect region, with higher levels of oxygen and lower magnitudes of strain leading to the prediction of endochondral bone formation in the osseous region of the defect, which correlated closely with our \textit{in vivo} findings. Furthermore, the model suggested that local levels of oxygen availability and mechanical stimuli play a key role in determining whether hyaline, fibrocartilage, or hypertrophic cartilage would form in the chondral region of the defect. The improvement in cartilage formation observed in the treated group compared with the empty defects can be attributed to the increased stiffness of the engineered tissue when compared with granulation tissue, as well as the chondrogenic priming of the MSCs before implantation. The preculture period ensured that stable cartilage formed within the chondral phase of the scaffold at an earlier time point compared with the empty defect. This stable cartilage was hence more resistant to vascularization, hypertrophy, and endochondral ossification.

The insight provided by the \textit{in silico} model can also be used to improve future tissue engineering strategies targeting larger and hence more challenging chondral, OC defects or whole-joint resurfacing applications. Ideally, the mechanical properties of the engineered template would more closely mimic that of the native tissue before implantation, or the oxygen environment within the template could be altered through the incorporation of hypoxia-inducing factors.\textsuperscript{69} Potentially, a stiffer implant is required to sustain the harsh loading, however, it must not compromise the chondrogenic capacity of the implant. This could be achieved, for example, using the 3D biofabrication technology to reinforce the alginate hydrogel with printed polymer fibers.\textsuperscript{70} Polycaprolactone-based scaffolds have previously been used in the repair of articular cartilage defects to some degree of success.\textsuperscript{71}

In summary, tissue-engineered cartilage templates were found to undergo spatially defined differentiation in response to local environmental cues within OC defects. This engineered template prompted the development of a more hyaline-like cartilage repair tissue, pointing to the potential for developmentally inspired soft tissue templates, engineered using BMSCs, to regenerate damaged and diseased synovial joints.
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